THE DICOM 2013 INTERNATIONALCONFERENCE & SEMINARMarch 14-16Bangalore, India





Experience of Implementing Radiation Dose Structured Reporting for Fluoroscopy System

Saurav Kumar Baidya Philips Electronics India Ltd Software Architect

Bangalore, India



Experience of Implementing Radiation Dose Structured Reporting

- Introduction
- Structured Report
- Radiation Dose Structured Report
- Finding Right Data A Challenge
- Potential Scope of Improvement in Definition
- Need of Closer Collaboration
- Conclusions

Introduction



- Radiation exposure monitoring is an important aspect of radiation based diagnostic imaging equipments.
- This presentation talks about various challenges and observations gathered during the implementation of Radiation Dose Structured Reporting (RDSR) for Fluoroscopy System.

Structured Report

- Content Items
- Content Items Tree
- Coded content in addition to plain text
- Not presentation guidelines
- Templates





Radiation Dose Structured Report



- Overcomes weaknesses of MPPS or Image headers as dose monitoring methods
 - Both MPPS and Image headers lack important dose details
 - MPPS were designed for workflow and are not stored
 - Similar gaps if images deleted or not archived
- The Radiation Dose Structured Report provide more details in a persistent format

Radiation Dose Structured Report



TID 10001 (Projection X-ray Radiation Dose) * TID 1002 (Observer Context) # TID 1004 (device Observer Identifying Attributes) * TID 10002 (Accumulated X-ray dose data) # TID 10004 (Accumulated Projection X- ray Dose) * TID 10003 (Irradiation Event X-ray Data) (one or more) # TID 1020 (Person Participant) (optional) # TID 1021 (Device participant)

Finding Right Data – A Challenge



- Interpretation of information
 - Different dose calculations
 - Different positioner primary angles
- Different sources of data
 - Patient database
 - Configuration database



Potential Scope of Improvement in Definition



- Template 10003 row 21 has a 1-n multiplicity
 - Rows 22, 23, 24 and 25 are sub elements of 21
 - Parent child relationship not clearly defined with respect to the parent with 1-n multiplicity
 - Rows 22, 23, 24 and 25 should be combined into a new template

TID 10003 IRRADIATION EVENT X-RAY DATA Type: Extensible

21	>	CONTAINS	CONTAINER	EV (113771, DCM, "X-Ray Filters")	1-n	U	
22	>>	CONTAINS	CODE	EV (113772, DCM, "X-Ray Filter Type")	1	U	DCID (10007) X-Ray Filter Types
23	>>	CONTAINS	CODE	EV (113757, DCM, "X-Ray Filter Material")	1	U	DCID (10006) X-Ray Filter Materials
24	>>	CONTAINS	NUM	EV (113758, DCM, "X-Ray Filter Thickness Minimum")	1	U	Units = EV (mm, UCUM, "mm")
25	>>	CONTAINS	NUM	EV (113773, DCM, "X-Ray Filter Thickness Maximum")	1	U	Units = EV (mm, UCUM, "mm")

Need of Closer Collaboration



- Collaboration with other standards bodies
- Spreading radiation dose structured report feature awareness





- RDSR implementation is important and experience therefrom is valuable
- More collaboration with other bodies will strengthen RDSR acceptance
- Need to spread radiation dose structured report feature awareness
- Take potential scope of improvement as Correction
 Proposal







Author Contacts



Saurav Kumar Baidya

- saurav.baidya@philips.com
- Philips Innovation Campus, Manyata Tech Park, Nagawara Circle, Outer Ring Road, Bangalore – 560045 (Karnataka, India)

Daniel Bauhaus

- daniel.bauhaus@philips.com
- Philips Healthcare, Roentgenstrasse 24, Hamburg, Germany

Mathias Kanitz

- mathias.kanitz@philips.com
- Philips Healthcare, Roentgenstrasse 24, Hamburg, Germany

Thank you for your attention !